Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/692,765	SAULPAUGH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAMAL B. DIVECHA	2451
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWANCE</u>		
(1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA.	(3) <u>ROBERT KOWERT</u> .	
(2) <u>STEPHEN A. MASON</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 March 2011	Time: <u>12.25PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  NONE		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiners proposed amendments and alternative applicant's proposed claim amendments were discussed. On 3/22/2011, minor changes to claim 14, 27, 36, 38-48 were discussed as reflected in the allowed claims.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/KAMAL B DIVECHA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)